#### 2. <u>Levels of Traffic Service Throughout the City Shall Be Maintained</u>

..., all new development projects shall assure by implementation of appropriate mitigation measures that, at a minimum, traffic levels of service (LOS) are maintained at a minimum of LOS C throughout the City, except where the current level of service is lower than LOS C. In any location where the level of service is below LOS C at the time of application for a development project is submitted, mitigation measures shall be imposed on that development project to assure, at a minimum, that the level of service is maintained at levels of service that are no worse than those existing at the time of an application for development is filed. In any location where the Level of Service is LOS F at the time an application for a development project is submitted, mitigation measures shall be imposed on that development project to assure, at a minimum, that the volume to capacity ratio is maintained at a volume to capacity ratio that is no worse than that existing at the time an application for development is filed. Projects where sufficient mitigation to achieve the above stated objectives is infeasible shall not be approved unless and until necessary mitigation measures are identified and implemented.

### INTERSECTIONS: EXISTING PEAK HOUR LOS

Intersection	<u>AM</u>	<u>PM</u>
University/Barton	C	C
Anderson/Redlands	C	C
Anderson/Barton	C	C
Mountain View/Redlands	C	D
Mountain View/Mission	В	$\mathbf{C}$
Mountain View/Barton	C	$\mathbf{C}$
California/Redlands	C	D
California/Mission	C	$\mathbf{C}$
California/Barton	В	$\mathbf{C}$

# INTERSECTIONS: FORECAST YEAR 2025 WITH IMPROVEMENTS

Intersection	<u>AM</u>	<u>PM</u>
University/Barton	С	D
Anderson/Redlands	C	D
Anderson/Barton	C	D
Mountain View/Redlands	C	D
Mountain View/Mission	В	C
Mountain View/Barton	C	C
California/Redlands	C	D
California/Mission	C	C
California/Barton	В	C

# INTERSECTIONS: FORECAST YEAR 2015 WITHOUT PROJECT

Intersection	<u>AM</u>	<u>PM</u>
University/Barton	C	D
Anderson/Redlands	C	D
Anderson/Barton	C	D
Mountain View/Redlands	C	$\mathbf{C}$
Mountain View/Mission	В	F
Mountain View/Barton	C	$\mathbf{C}$
California/Redlands	F	F
California/Mission	F	D
California/Barton	В	В

# INTERSECTIONS: FORECAST YEAR 2025 WITHOUT PROJECT

Intersection	<u>AM</u>	<u>PM</u>
University/Barton	C	Е
Anderson/Redlands	C	D
Anderson/Barton	C	C
Mountain View/Redlands	C	D
Mountain View/Mission	В	F
Mountain View/Barton	C	C
California/Redlands	F	F
California/Mission	F	F
California/Barton	D	F

#### **SECTION 2: EXEMPTIONS**

**B. Certain Non-Profit Entities.** Development projects that directly further the primary institutional purposes of Loma Linda University Adventist Health Sciences Center and/or related entities or subsidiaries are exempt from the traffic level of service requirements except as to those related to the Hillside Preservation Area, the Hillside Conservation Area and the Expanded Hillside Area, the building height requirements, and the maximum allowable residential densities except for those set forth for the Hillside Conservation Area and the Hillside Preservation area, so long as such development projects are either 1) non-residential in character, or 2) provide only student and/or staff housing for those exempt entities. In no event shall such entities be exempt from the standards established in Principle Two of this Chapter 2A.

#### **Regional Housing Needs Allocation (RHNA)**

Loma Linda's RHNA Planning period July 1, 1998 to December 31, 2005

Very Low: 332

Low: 235

Moderate: 296

Above Moderate: <u>649</u>

Total: **1512** 

According to HCD, RHNA represents the minimum need for additional housing during the planning period and does not represent a cap.

Adoption by SCAG of new RHNA: No later than July 1, 2007

AB 2348 (Mullin, D-So. San Francisco) requires that housing element must include a detailed land inventory and analysis including a sites specific inventory listing properties, zoning and general plan designation, size and existing uses; a general analysis of environmental constraints and the available infrastructure, and evaluation of the suitability, availability and realistic development capacity of sites to accommodate the jurisdiction's share of the regional housing need by income level. If the analysis does not demonstrate adequate sites, appropriately zoned to meet the jurisdictions share of regional housing need, by income level, the element must include a program to provide the needed sites including zoning that allows owner-occupied and rental multifamily uses, "by-right" (i.e., "over the counter" without a discretionary approval) with minimum density and development standards that allow at least 16 units per site for sites needed to address the housing for lower-income households.

AB 1233 (Jones) requires for housing elements due on or after January 1, 2006, that, for purposes of making the assessment and inventory for meeting the locality's share of the regional housing element, if the city or county failed to identify or make available adequate sites to accommodate that portion of the regional housing need allocated pursuant to Section 65584, then the city or county shall, within the first year of the planning period of the new housing element, zone or rezone adequate sites to accommodate the unaccommodated portion of the regional housing need allocation from the prior planning period.

Signed into Law: 10/6/05

